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OLD NEWS: We seek sparse deconvolutions by imposing a hyperbolic penalty function.

NEW: Although FT based, we find theory for arbitrary gain $(\mathrm{t})$ and mute $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x})$ AFTER decon.

NEW: Results confirm benefit of "gain after decon"

NEW: We have identified a long-needed regularization.

## Sparseness goals

The $\ell_{2}$-norm decon forces a whiteness assumption and forces a "minimum phase" assumption. Both bad.

The sparseness goal should yield a "best" spectrum and (hopefully) the most appropriate phase.

Enhance low frequency only when it aids sparsity.

Seek to integrate reflectivity to obtain log impedance.

## Logarithmic parameterization

$$
r_{t}=\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(\omega) \exp \left(\sum_{\tau \neq 0} u_{\tau} Z^{\tau}\right.
$$

$D(\omega)$ is the FT of the data. $r_{t}$ is reflectivity (and residual) $u_{\tau}$ are the free parameters. $u_{0}=0$ is mean log spectrum.

## Gain and sparsity

$q_{t}=g_{t} r_{t}$
where:
$r_{t}$ is the physical output of the filter
$g_{t}$ is the given gain function, often $t^{2}$ $q_{t}$ is the gained output, also called the "statistical signal" to be sparsified.

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{t} & =g_{t} r_{t} \\
H\left(q_{t}\right) & =\sqrt{q_{t}^{2}+1}-1 \\
\frac{d H}{d q}=H^{\prime}(q) & =\frac{q}{\sqrt{q^{2}+1}}=\operatorname{softly} \text { clipped residut }
\end{aligned}
$$

$r_{t}$ is the physical output of the filter
$g_{t}$ is the given gain function
$q_{t}$ is the gained output,
$H(q)$ is the hyperbolic penalty function.
Choose $g_{t}$ so that $q_{t} \approx 1$. What percentile?
"Sparsity" is $1 / \sum_{t} H\left(q_{t}\right)$

$$
r_{t}=\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(Z) e^{\cdots+u_{2} Z^{2}+u_{3} Z^{3}+u_{4} Z^{4}+\cdots}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(Z) Z^{\tau} e^{\cdots+u_{2} Z^{2}+u_{3} Z^{3}+u_{4} Z^{4}+\cdots} \\
\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =r_{t+\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
r_{t}=\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(Z) e^{\cdots+u_{2} Z^{2}+u_{3} Z^{3}+u_{4} Z^{4}+\cdots}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(Z) Z^{\tau} e^{\cdots+u_{2} Z^{2}+u_{3} Z^{3}+u_{4} Z^{4}+\cdots} \\
\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =r_{t+\tau} \quad \text { You think you have seen this before...? }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
r_{t}=\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(Z) e^{\cdots+u_{2} Z^{2}+u_{3} Z^{3}+u_{4} Z^{4}+\cdots}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(Z) Z^{\tau} e^{\cdots+u_{2} Z^{2}+u_{3} Z^{3}+u_{4} Z^{4}+\cdots} \\
\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =r_{t+\tau}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { No, you likely saw } d_{t+\tau} .
$$

Residual orthogonal to fitting function becomes
Residual orthogonal to itself
$r_{t}=\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(Z) e^{\cdots+u_{2} Z^{2}+u_{3} Z^{3}+u_{4} Z^{4}+\cdots}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =\mathrm{FT}^{-1} D(Z) Z^{\tau} e^{\cdots+u_{2} Z^{2}+u_{3} Z^{3}} \\
\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =r_{t+\tau} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Physical output gradient } \\
\text { w.r.t. lag-log variable }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{t} & =r_{t} g_{t} \\
\frac{d q_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} & =\frac{d r_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} g_{t}=r_{t+\tau} g_{t} \quad \text { Statistical gradient }
\end{aligned}
$$

the step
$\Delta u_{\tau}=$
$\begin{aligned} & \left.=\sum_{t} \frac{d q_{t}}{d u_{\tau}} / \frac{d / H\left(q_{t}\right)}{d q_{t}}\right)^{t} \\ \Delta u_{\tau} & =\sum_{t}\left(r_{t+\tau}\right) \quad\left(g_{t} H^{\prime}\left(q_{t}\right)\right) \quad \tau \neq 0\end{aligned}$
A crosscorrelation: Compute it in the Fourier domain.
At convergence this is a delta function. Special case: stationary $L 2$ then $r(t)$ is white.

Amazing generalization to
(I) non-causal, (2) gain, and (3) sparsity!
the step


A crosscorrelation: Compute it in the Fourier domain.
the step


A crosscorrelation: Compute it in the Fourier domain.
At convergence this is a delta function. Special case: stationary $L 2$ then $r(t)$ is white.

Amazing generalization to
(I) non-causal, (2) gain, and (3) sparsity!

## From $\Delta \mathbf{u}$ to $\Delta \mathbf{r}$

Skipping lots of algebra
(including a linearization)
given the gradient step $\Delta \mathbf{u}=\left(\Delta u_{\tau}\right)$
and the residual $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{t}\right)$,
the residual perturbation is $\Delta \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r} * \Delta \mathbf{u}$.
("*" is convolution)
and the sparsity perturbation is
$\Delta q_{t}=g_{t} \Delta r_{t}$.

## Minimizing $H(\mathbf{q}+\alpha \Delta \mathbf{q})$

At each $q_{t}$ fit hyperbola to parabola (Taylor series).
A sum of parabolas is a parabola. Easy getting $\alpha$.

$$
\alpha=-\frac{\sum_{t} \Delta q_{t} H_{t}^{\prime}}{\sum_{t}\left(\Delta q_{t}\right)^{2} H_{t}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

Update the residual $\mathbf{q}$ and unknowns $\mathbf{u}$. Form new Taylor series and iterate.

Recall stationary $\ell_{2}: \quad \alpha=-(\Delta \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}) /(\Delta \mathbf{r} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{r})$

## Quick peek at the algorithm: math to code key

Lower case letters for variables in time and space like $\mathrm{d}=d(t, x), \mathrm{dq}=\Delta q(t, x), \mathrm{u}=u_{\tau}$.

Upper case for frequency domain like $\mathrm{R}=R(\omega, x)$, and $\mathrm{dU}=\Delta U(\omega)$.

Asterisk $*$ means multiply within an implied loop on $t$ or $\omega$.

EXPLORATION PROJECT


Remove the mean from U(omega).
Iteration \{

```
dU = 0
For all x
```

```
r \(=\mathrm{iFT}(\mathrm{D} * \exp (\mathrm{U}))\)
```

r $=\mathrm{iFT}(\mathrm{D} * \exp (\mathrm{U}))$
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{g} * \mathrm{r}$
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{g} * \mathrm{r}$
$\mathrm{dU}=\mathrm{dU}+\operatorname{conjg}(\mathrm{FT}(r)) * \mathrm{FT}(\mathrm{g} * \operatorname{softclip}(\mathrm{q}))$
$\mathrm{dU}=\mathrm{dU}+\operatorname{conjg}(\mathrm{FT}(r)) * \mathrm{FT}(\mathrm{g} * \operatorname{softclip}(\mathrm{q}))$
Remove the mean from dU(omega)
For all x
dR = FT(r) * dU
dq = g * iFT(dR)
Newton iteration for finding alfa {
H' = softclip( q )
H}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}\prime= = 1/(1+q^2)^1.
alfa= - Sum( dq * H' ) / Sum( dq^2 * H'')
q = q + alfa * dq
U = U + alfa * dU
}
}

```

\section*{Instability! Yikes!}

Sometimes there are time shifts. Sometimes the polarity is wrong. l'm going to work on velocity instead.


Try preconditioning. Try regularization.

I tried them.

l'd rather do Q tomography.

\section*{K Instability! Yikes!}

Masking the gradient fails. Here are the sample histories you asked for.

I'm going to Houston.



\section*{Instability．Yikes！}

Antoine：I changed the gain by \(10 \%\) and the spike jumped from \(B\) to \(C\) ．

Jon：Awful！I thought I had a great starting solution at B

Jon：Make me a movie as a function of iteration．


\section*{Instability. Yikes!}
with Antoine and Qiang Fu

10 iterations: good spike at B,
A\&C small

\section*{200 iterations:} maybe spikes at \(A\) maybe spikes at \(B\) maybe spikes at \(C\) others small


\title{
"But when it's good, it's really good! Let's look at some of the results."
}


We'll return to the stability problem later.


\section*{Prepare to compare gain before with gain after}
data \(\longrightarrow\) t-squared gain \(\longrightarrow\) decon
data \(\longrightarrow\) new decon \(\longrightarrow\) t-squared gain
\[
r_{t}
\]
\[
q_{t}
\]

\section*{Estimated shot}

\section*{Scale up by 10x} Same (scaled up 10x, clipped) whelre data gained AFTER decon

\section*{Compare}

Same (same sc and clip) where dat Gain before decon.
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Estimated shot waveform

Scale up by 5 5x 5x, clipped) wher|e data Gain after decon. \(\xrightarrow{\text { scaled up 5x, clipped) wherge data gained AFTER decon }}\)
Scale up by 5x same (same scale and clip) where

Gain before decon. data gained BEFORE decon



\section*{Produced by Antoine}


\footnotetext{
\(-24000-16000-8000\)
0
}

\section*{Instability. Yikes!}

\section*{with Antoine and Qiang Fu}

10 iterations, spikes at B, A\&C small

200 iterations, maybe spikes at \(A\)
 maybe spikes at \(B\) maybe spikes at \(C\) others small

\section*{Instability. Yikes!}
with Antoine and Qiang Fu
10 iterations,
spikes at B, A\&C small

200 iterations, maybe spikes at \(A\)
 maybe spikes at \(B\) maybe spikes at \(C\) others small

\title{
Nobody has proven it is a null space problem.
}

But I think it is,
so I must come up with a regularization.

\section*{Basic Regularization}
\(0 \approx w_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}-\bar{u}_{\tau}\right)\)
\(\bar{u}_{\tau}\), a prior model, how to choose it? \(w_{\tau}\) are weights, how to choose them?

\section*{Basic Regularization}
\[
0 \approx w_{\substack{w_{\mathrm{sioh}}^{\alpha_{s}}}}\left(u_{\tau}-\bar{u}_{\tau}\right)
\]

\section*{But how to choose them?}

еxяo

\section*{Fancier Regularization}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \approx \quad \sum_{t} \sum_{k} w_{k, \tau}\left(u_{\tau}-\bar{u}_{\tau}\right) \\
& 0 \quad \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{u}-\overline{\mathbf{u}}) \\
& \text { but what to choose for } \mathbf{W} \text { and } \overline{\mathbf{u}} \text { ? }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { STANFORD }
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Intuitive Regularization}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \approx w_{\tau}\left(u_{\tau}-u_{-\tau}\right) \\
& \text { Choose big } w_{\tau} \text { where }|\tau \approx 0|
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Reduces the phase near \(\mathrm{t}=0\), more like Ricker there.} EXPLORATION PROJECT

\section*{Regularization}

FFT notation in matrix, Fortran notation in vectors.
\[
\mathbf{0} \approx\left[\begin{array}{c}
r_{m}(1) \\
r_{m}(2) \\
r_{m}(3) \\
r_{m}(4) \\
r_{m}(5) \\
r_{m}(6)
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{W}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & +1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & +1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & +1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & +1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u(1) \\
u(2) \\
u(3) \\
u(4) \\
u(5) \\
u(6)
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{W} \mathbf{J u}
\]

\section*{Report deadline}

\section*{Only Antoine has seen the results}

\section*{(if he hasn't been too busy at work).}

Any student had too much synthetic data?

\section*{Theory innovations}
- Two-sided filters escape minimum phase.
- Use sparsity goal instead of whiteness.
- Apply gain and mute AFTER filtering.

\section*{Conclusions from testing}
- Value of gain theory confirmed by two examples.
- Sparsity is not powerful enough to ensure a "best" phase. Regularization is needed.
- A long-needed regularization is identified.
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\section*{The end}
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