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- Current methods: conversion of time shifts $\Rightarrow$ impedance changes
- More automated tomographic methods exist
- Goal: design a robust 4D FWI less sensitive to repeatability issues

Find a model $\mathbf{m}$ that minimizes misfit between the true $\mathbf{d}$ and predicted $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{m})$ data (Lailly, 1983; Tarantola, 1984)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Misfit }=\left\|\mathbf{W}_{d}[\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{m})]\right\|^{2} \rightarrow \min \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with optional model regularization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left\|\mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{m}\left[\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{m}^{\text {prior }}\right]\right\|^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{m}$ is a subsurface velocity model, $\mathbf{W}_{d}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{m}$ are data residual and model weighting operators, $\mathbf{R}$ is a model regularization operator, and $\mathbf{m}^{\text {prior }}$ is a model prior.

## Existing model-space 4D FWI methods

- Parallel Difference FWI

- Sequential Difference FWI



## Joint 4D FWI: simultaneous inversion and cross-updating

- NEW: Simultaneous FWI of baseline and monitor with difference regularization:

> Baseline Misfit + Monitor Misfit +

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left\|\mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{m}\left[\mathbf{m}_{2}-\mathbf{m}_{1}\right]\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- NEW: Cross-updating Approximation to the Simultaneous FWI:



## Total-variation difference regularization

- NEW: Simultaneous FWI of baseline and monitor with the total-variation difference regularization:
Baseline Misfit + Monitor Misfit +

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left\|\left|\nabla \mathbf{W}_{m}\left[\mathbf{m}_{2}-\mathbf{m}_{1}\right]\right|\right\|_{1} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The Total-variation (TV) seminorm (6) provides edge-preserving regularization that promotes model "blockiness" and helps to reduce spurious oscillations ("ROF" model of Rudin, Osher, and Fatemi, 1992).


## $7 \mathrm{~dB}=2.2$ SNR synthetic



## "Marmousi" model: true baseline
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## Modified "Marmousi" : true monitor
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## True difference
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## Cross-updating
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## Total-variation difference regularization


inline (m)

GoM Genesis field data: estimating production-induced overburden dilation

## Genesis target (Magesan et al., 2008)



## Baseline time migration image by Chevron



## Monitor-Baseline image difference by Chevron



## Kinematic differences (time shifts) in 1074m common-offset gathers $\underset{\xi}{\xi}$



- Frequency-domain inversion, $3-30 \mathrm{~Hz}$
- Shot gathers interpolated from the provided sparse CDP gathers
- Phase-only inversion as prior processing made amplitude information unreliable
- Baseline: 1264 shots with up to 175 receivers each
- Monitor: 1264 shots with up to 175 receivers each (a platform gap exists)
- Maximum 10 iterations per frequency


## Baseline inversion, 3-30Hz



## Baseline target



## Parallel Difference



## Baseline target



Model difference, strong TV regularization


## Baseline target



Model difference, strong TV regularization


Zoomed-in baseline target


Zoomed-in model difference, strong TV regularization


## Migrated baseline image



## Migrated image difference (target area)



## Velocity difference



Image vs velocity difference




## Genesis production wells (Rickett et al., 20007)



- TV-regularized simultaneous time-lapse FWI can resolve velocity changes induced by overburden dilation
- Peaks of inverted velocity difference match the largest observed time strains
- Resolving fine features of compacting reservoirs may require multi-scale inversion (Maharramov and Biondi, SEP155, pp 187-192)
- 3D time-lapse FWI experiments (including analysis of sensitivity to cycle-skipping) are underway
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## Q\&A



## Appendices

## Appendices - discussion slides

Model decomposition into "blocky" and "wiggly" components $=$ cartoon+texture decomposition (Meyer, 2001):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}_{b}}\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{m})-\mathbf{d}\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha\left\|\left|\nabla \mathbf{m}_{b}\right|\right\|_{1}+\beta\left\|\mathbf{R} \mathbf{m}_{w}\right\|_{2}^{2}, \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{m}_{b}+\mathbf{m}_{w} \\
\text { where } \\
\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{I} \text { or } \boldsymbol{\Delta} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## $R$-factor analysis

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \tau}{d t} \approx \frac{\Delta t}{t}=\frac{\Delta z}{z}-\frac{\Delta v}{v},  \tag{8}\\
\frac{\Delta v}{v}=-R \frac{\Delta z}{z},  \tag{9}\\
\frac{\Delta v}{v}=-\frac{R}{R+1} \frac{\Delta t}{t} \approx-\frac{\Delta t}{t} \approx-\frac{d \tau}{d t} .  \tag{10}\\
\Delta v \approx-.02 \times 2,800 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=-56 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$




Model difference, strong TV regularization


