Resolving the effects of production-induced overburden dilation using simultaneous TV-regularized time-lapse FWI Application to field seismic data

#### Musa Maharramov, Biondo Biondi, and Mark Meadows



Stanford Exploration Project





- Current methods: conversion of time shifts  $\Rightarrow$  impedance changes
- More automated tomographic methods exist
- Goal: design a robust 4D FWI less sensitive to repeatability issues



Find a model  ${\bf m}$  that minimizes misfit between the true  ${\bf d}$  and predicted  ${\bf u}({\bf m})$  data (Lailly, 1983; Tarantola, 1984)

$$\mathsf{Misfit} = \|\mathbf{W}_d \left[ \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{m}) \right] \|^2 \to \min, \tag{1}$$

with optional model regularization

$$\beta \| \mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_m \left[ \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}^{\text{prior}} \right] \|^2, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{m}$  is a subsurface velocity model,  $\mathbf{W}_d$  and  $\mathbf{W}_m$  are data residual and model weighting operators,  $\mathbf{R}$  is a model regularization operator, and  $\mathbf{m}^{\text{prior}}$  is a model prior.



Parallel Difference FWI



Sequential Difference FWI

► NEW: Simultaneous FWI of baseline and monitor with difference regularization:

Baseline Misfit + Monitor Misfit +

 $\alpha \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{W}_m \left[\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1\right]\|_2^2.$ 

► NEW: Cross-updating Approximation to the Simultaneous FWI:

$$\mathbf{m}_{\text{INIT}} \longrightarrow \text{BASE FWI} \Rightarrow \mathbf{m}_b \longrightarrow \text{MON FWI} \Rightarrow \mathbf{m}_m$$
$$\mathbf{m}_m \Leftarrow \text{MON FWI} \longleftarrow \mathbf{m}_b \Leftarrow \text{BASE FWI} \bigstar$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{m} \bigstar$$

(3)

(4)

► NEW: *Simultaneous FWI* of baseline and monitor with the *total-variation difference regularization*:

$$\alpha \| |\nabla \mathbf{W}_m \left[ \mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1 \right] | \|_1.$$
(6)

► The Total-variation (TV) seminorm (6) provides edge-preserving regularization that promotes model "blockiness" and helps to reduce spurious oscillations ("ROF" model of Rudin, Osher, and Fatemi, 1992).



# 7 dB = 2.2 SNR synthetic





inline (m)

#### Modified "Marmousi": true monitor





inline (m)







#### Cross-updating





inline (m)

#### Total-variation difference regularization









# GoM Genesis field data: estimating production-induced overburden dilation

#### Genesis target (Magesan et al., 2008)





#### Baseline time migration image by Chevron





#### Monitor-Baseline image difference by Chevron





Maharramov, Biondi, Meadows (SEP)

#### Kinematic differences (time shifts) in 1074m common-offset gathers



Maharramov, Biondi, Meadows (SEP)



- ► Frequency-domain inversion, 3-30Hz
- ► Shot gathers interpolated from the provided sparse CDP gathers
- ► Phase-only inversion as prior processing made amplitude information unreliable
- ► Baseline: 1264 shots with up to 175 receivers each
- ► Monitor: 1264 shots with up to 175 receivers each (a platform gap exists)
- ► Maximum 10 iterations per frequency

#### Baseline inversion, 3-30Hz





























#### Zoomed-in baseline target









#### Migrated baseline image





#### Migrated image difference (target area)





#### Velocity difference





#### Image vs velocity difference













#### Genesis production wells (Rickett et al., 20007)





#### Conclusions and perspectives



- TV-regularized simultaneous time-lapse FWI can resolve velocity changes induced by overburden dilation
- Peaks of inverted velocity difference match the largest observed time strains
- Resolving fine features of compacting reservoirs may require multi-scale inversion (Maharramov and Biondi, SEP155, pp 187-192)
- 3D time-lapse FWI experiments (including analysis of sensitivity to cycle-skipping) are underway



### The authors thank **Stewart Levin**, **Joseph Stefani**, **Dimitri Bevc**, and **Shuki Ronen** for a number of useful discussions and suggestions; **Chevron**, **ExxonMobil**, and **BHP Billiton** for providing field data; and SEP affiliate members for their support.

Q&A







inline (m)



## Appendices – discussion slides



#### Model decomposition into "blocky" and "wiggly" components = cartoon+texture decomposition (Meyer, 2001):

# $\min_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}_{b}} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{d}\|_{2}^{2} + \alpha \||\nabla \mathbf{m}_{b}|\|_{1} + \beta \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{m}_{w}\|_{2}^{2}, \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_{b} + \mathbf{m}_{w}, \quad (7)$

where

 $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I} \text{ or } \boldsymbol{\Delta}.$ 



$$\frac{d\tau}{dt} \approx \frac{\Delta t}{t} = \frac{\Delta z}{z} - \frac{\Delta v}{v},$$

$$\frac{\Delta v}{v} = -R\frac{\Delta z}{z},$$
(9)
$$\frac{\Delta v}{v} = -\frac{R}{R+1}\frac{\Delta t}{t} \approx -\frac{\Delta t}{t} \approx -\frac{d\tau}{dt}.$$
(10)
$$\Delta v \approx -.02 \times 2,800 \text{ m/s} = -56 \text{ m/s}.$$
(11)





#### Model difference, medium TV regularization







